

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,623	02/24/2004	Kaiping Liu	TI-34717.1	2943	
23494	7590 07/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			CHAUDHARI, CHANDRA P		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2891		
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummons	10/785,623	LIU, KAIPING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chandra Chaudhari	2891				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,8,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>3-6, 9, 12-13</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The d∳awing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s) 1) Metics of References Cited (RTO 800)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Feb. 24, 2004. 6) Uhher:						

Art Unit: 2891

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 7-8, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park – US 6,509,241 and applicant's admitted prior art.

Park (Figs. 1-6 and text in col. 3, line 45 to col. 6, line 29) discloses substantially the claimed invention by forming a gate structure 26, implanting a dopant material to form halo structure 38, forming a trench using anisotropic etching in the source/drain region which removes at least a portion of the halo structure, and forming semiconductor material in the trench using epitaxial deposition. Park does not disclose implanting the dopant material at an angle to form the halo structure. Applicant's admitted prior art Fig. 3 and pages 6-7 of the specification teaches using an angled implant to form halo regions.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an angled implant as taught by applicant's admitted prior art in Park's process because it efficiently permits implantation of dopant underlying the gate structure. Implanting an HDD dopant into a top portion of the silicon in the trench may reasonably be attained with the parameters as disclosed by Park at col. 5, line 59 to col. 6, line 5, and it is reasonable that the etching may be considered a device cleaning process.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,623 Page 3

Art Unit: 2891

Claims 3-6, 9, 12-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Pan – US 5,595,919, Lee – US 5,937,293, and Kadosh – US 6,589,847 describe

implanting to form halo regions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Chandra Chaudhari whose telephone number is 571-272-1688. The examiner

can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill

Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chandra Chaudhari Primary Examiner Art Unit 2891

Chandra Chaudhari July 8, 2005

C. Chaudhari